

Course Information		OUC														
Course: PP 315 203		111801														
Courses evaluated: PP 315																
Title: Principles of Plant Undergraduate Course																
Responses= 6 Enrolled= 13 Resp. Rate= 46.2%																
#	Question	Mean	5	4	3	2	1	NA	BL	StDev	SEM	Nq	Dept N	Dept Mean	Dept StDev	
1	The instructor stated course objectives/outcomes	4.8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.17	6	76	4.8	0.5	
2	The instructor was receptive to students outside the classroom	5.0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.00	6	77	4.8	0.5	
3	The instructor explained material well.	4.8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.17	6	79	4.7	0.5	
4	The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the course	5.0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.00	6	77	4.8	0.4	
5	The instructor was prepared for class	4.8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.17	6	78	4.8	0.4	
6	The instructor gave useful feedback.	5.0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.00	6	78	4.8	0.4	
7	The instructor consistently treated students with respect	4.8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.17	6	80	4.8	0.4	
8	Overall, the instructor was an effective teacher	4.8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.17	6	78	4.8	0.5	
9	The course readings were valuable aids to learning	4.8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.17	6	75	4.8	0.5	
10	The course assignments were valuable aids to learning	4.8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.17	6	78	4.7	0.5	
11	This course improved my knowledge of the subject	4.8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.17	6	81	4.8	0.4	
12	Overall, this course was excellent	4.8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.17	6	81	4.8	0.4	
16	Lab sessions contributed to mastery of course concepts	4.7	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.21	6	.	.	.	
17	Lab facilities, equipment, supplies, etc. were adequate	4.5	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.22	6	.	.	.	
18	The degree of lab difficulty was appropriate	4.5	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.22	6	.	.	.	
19	Overall, the labs were effective learning experiences	4.4	2	3	0	0	0	0	1	0.5	0.25	5	.	.	.	

Note: Results based on low response rates may not reflect the true class mean. Dept mean is for all undergraduate, or all graduate courses, offered by dept and is weighted by class size.

Question	Response
Comment on strengths and weaknesses of the instructor	The instructor was very receptive to student questions/comments and very nice.
Comment on strengths and weaknesses of the course	Sometimes the lab didn't go as expected, but the descriptions by the lab instructor were enough to explain the concepts that were supposed to be illustrated by the labs.
-----	-----
Comment on strengths and weaknesses of the instructor	Kestrel was an excellent TA. One of the best I have ever had.
Comment on strengths and weaknesses of the course	Some experiments crashed.
-----	-----
Comment on strengths and weaknesses of the instructor	Good grasp of materials taught
Comment on strengths and weaknesses of the course	covered a broad spectrum of pathology as to be expected.
-----	-----
Comment on strengths and weaknesses of the instructor	She is a good teacher and likes to help the students. She is knowledgeable about the material and prepared for each lab session.